



# Annual Audit Letter 2018-19

**NHS Lewisham Clinical Commissioning Group**

23 July 2019

# Contents

The contacts at KPMG in connection with this report are:

**Richard Hewes**  
*Engagement Lead*  
*KPMG LLP (UK)*

Tel: 07540 405122  
richard.hewes@kpmg.co.uk

**Taryn Retief**  
*Manager*  
*KPMG LLP (UK)*

Tel: 07770 620049  
taryn.retief@kpmg.co.uk

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>Introduction</b>                     | <b>3</b>    |
| <b>Headlines</b>                        | <b>6</b>    |
| <b>Appendices</b>                       | <b>7</b>    |
| <b>A. Summary of our reports issued</b> |             |

This report is addressed to NHS Lewisham Clinical Commissioning Group (the CCG) and has been prepared for the sole use of the CCG. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties.

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body's own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

# Introduction

## Background

This Annual Audit Letter (the letter) summarises the key issues arising from our 2018-19 audit at NHS Lewisham Clinical Commissioning Group (the CCG). Although this letter is addressed to the Members of the Governing Body of the CCG, it is also intended to communicate these issues to external stakeholders, such as members of the public. It is the responsibility of the CCG to publish this letter on the CCG's website.

In the letter we highlight areas of good performance and also provide recommendations to help the CCG improve performance where appropriate. We did not identify any high risk recommendations in the financial year 2018-19. We have reported all the issues in this letter to the CCG during the year and we have provided a list of our reports in Appendix A.

## Scope of our audit

The statutory responsibilities and powers of appointed auditors are set out in the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. Our main responsibility is to carry out an audit that meets the requirements of the National Audit Office's Code of Audit Practice (the Code) which requires us to report on:

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Financial Statements including the regularity opinion and Governance Statement</b> | <p>We provide an opinion on the CCG's financial statements. That is whether we believe the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial affairs of the CCG and of the income and expenditure recorded during the year.</p> <p>We are also required to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>— form a view on the regularity of the CCG's income and expenditure i.e. that the expenditure and income included in the CCG's financial statements has been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions in the financial statements conform to the authorities which govern them;</li><li>— report by exception if the CCG has not complied with the requirements of NHS England in the preparation of its Governance Statement; and</li><li>— examine and report on the consistency of the schedules or returns prepared by the CCG for consolidation into the Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) with our other work.</li></ul> |
| <b>Value for Money arrangements</b>   | <p>We conclude on the arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness (value for money) in the CCG's use of resources.</p>  |

# Introduction (cont.)

## **Adding value from the External Audit service**

We have added value to the CCG from our service throughout the year through our:

- attendance at meetings with members of the Governing Body and Audit Committee to present our audit findings, broaden our knowledge of the CCG and provide insight into sector developments and examples of best practice;
- proactive and pragmatic approach to issues arising in the production of the financial statements to ensure that our opinion is delivered on time;
- incorporation of data analytics into our programme of work to, for example, identify high risk journals for testing;
- review of general IT controls in place at the CCG highlighting any control weaknesses and areas for improvement; and
- strong and effective working relationship with Internal Audit to maximise assurance to the Audit Committee, avoid duplication and provide value for money.

## **Fees**

Our fee for 2018-19 was £44,065 plus VAT (2017-18: £43,100). This fee was in line with the fee agreed at the start of the year with the CCG's Audit Committee.

## **Acknowledgement**

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the officers of the CCG for their continued support throughout the year

# Headlines


This section summarises the key messages from our work during 2018-19.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Financial Statements audit opinion</b>         | <p>We issued an unqualified audit opinion on the accounts on 24 May 2019. This means that we believe the accounts give a true and fair view of the financial affairs of the CCG and of the income and expenditure recorded during the year.</p> <p>There are no unadjusted audit differences</p> <p>There are no significant matters which we are required to report to those charged with governance.</p>   |
| <b>Financial statements audit work undertaken</b> | <p>We are required to apply the concept of materiality in planning and performing our audit. We are required to plan our audit to determine with reasonable confidence whether or not the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An omission or misstatement is regarded as material if it would reasonably influence the user of financial statements. Our materiality for the audit was £9m (2017-18 - £8.1m)</p> <p>We identified the following risks of material misstatement in the financial statements as part of our External Audit Plan 2018-19:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>— Primary Care Delegated Budgets - The results of our testing were satisfactory. We did not identify any findings to bring to your attention.</li> <li>— Expenditure Recognition - The results of our testing were satisfactory. We considered the amount of expenditure recognised to be acceptable.</li> </ul> |
| <b>Regularity Opinion</b>                         | <p>We are required to form a view on the regularity of the CCG's income and expenditure i.e. that the expenditure and income included in the CCG's financial statements has been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions in the financial statements conform to the authorities which govern them.</p> <p>We reviewed the CCG's expenditure and income and in our opinion, in all material respects, it has been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions conform to the authorities which govern them.</p>   |
| <b>Governance Statement</b>                       | <p>We confirmed that the CCG complied with NHS England requirements in the preparation of the CCG's Governance Statement.</p> <p>No significant adjustments were required to the Governance Statement.</p>   |
| <b>Whole of Government Accounts</b>               | <p>We issued an unqualified Auditor Statement on the Consolidation Schedules prepared by the CCG for consolidation into the Whole of Government Accounts with no exceptions.</p>   |

# Headlines (cont.)

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Value for Money (VFM) conclusion</b> | <p>We are required to report to you if we are not satisfied that the CCG has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. Based on the findings of our work, we have nothing to report except for:</p> <p>The CCG was placed under legal directions from NHS England. The legal directions required the CCG to cease to exercise its acute commissioning functions. The legal directions were lifted on 5 March 2019, however the CCG was under continued legal directions for majority of the 2018/19 financial year. Except for this matter, we have concluded that the CCG has adequate arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.</p>   |
| <b>VFM conclusion risk areas</b>        | <p>We undertook a risk assessment as part of our VFM audit work to identify the key areas impacting on our VFM conclusion and considered the arrangements you have put in place to mitigate these risks.</p> <p>Our work identified the following significant risks:</p> <p>Financial resilience – In the context of the wider NHS there is increasing financial pressures accumulating for Commissioners as well as providers. Whilst the CCG is delivering its year-to-date control total and delivered the control total at year-end, the CCG’s key pressure is overspending on their acute contracts. As part of our work, we reviewed the financial forecasts and found that the demographic assumptions adopted are slightly conservative. Overall, we concluded that the financial assumptions used are reasonable. Our review of the 2019/20 QIPP programme found the plan to be balanced and fair. Additionally, we confirmed that 2019/20 contracts have been negotiated with providers. The results of our work were satisfactory. We did not identify any findings to bring to your attention.</p> <p>Legal Directions – In considering the CCG’s arrangements for informed decision making, we identified that the CCG was placed under legal directions from NHS England from 1 September 2017. The legal directions required the CCG to cease to exercise its acute commissioning functions including the contract with Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust. During the period of legal directions, acute commissioning functions were to be exercised by NHS Southwark Clinical Commissioning Group on behalf of NHS Lewisham Clinical Commissioning Group. The legal directions were lifted on 5 March 2019. The CCG remains committed to improving acute commissioning functions, however the CCG was under continued legal directions for majority of the 2018/19 financial year. Whilst we issued a qualified, ‘except for’ conclusion, we acknowledge the significant efforts that the CCG has made in response to the requirements of the legal directions</p> |
| <b>Recommendations</b>                  | <p>We are pleased to report that there are no high risk recommendations arising from our 2018-19 audit.</p> <p>The CCG has been good at implementing agreed audit recommendations from prior years. We have not identified prior year recommendation that still require further action by management.</p>   |
| <b>Public Interest Reporting</b>        | <p>We have a responsibility to consider whether there is a need to issue a public interest report or whether there are any issues which require referral to the Secretary of State. We did not issue a report in the public interest or refer any matters to the Secretary of State in 2018-19.</p>   |

# Summary of our reports issued





The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2019 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International. | Create Graphics: CRT061249A